IP Journal of Diagnostic Pathology and Oncology 2024;9(3):146–150

Content available at: https://www.ipinnovative.com/open-access-journals

IP Journal of Diagnostic Pathology and Oncology

Journal homepage: https://www.jdpo.org/

Review Article

Computational pathology - Transforming diagnosis through machine learning and AI

Tejashwini Kotian[1*]

[1]*Manipal University College Malaysia, Malacca, Malaysia*

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 19-08-2024
Accepted 13-09-2024
Available online 28-09-2024

Keywords:
Computational pathology
Artificial intelligence (AI)
Machine learning (ML)
Deep learning
Convolutional neural networks (CNNs)

ABSTRACT

Computational pathology is a flourishing field at the intersection of pathology, computer science, and artificial intelligence (AI). By leveraging advanced image analysis algorithms, machine learning (ML), and deep learning techniques, computational pathology is poised to revolutionize the diagnostic process in clinical settings. This review article discusses key developments in computational pathology, explores various AI-powered tools used in digital histopathology, and examines the potential benefits and challenges of integrating computational techniques in routine pathology practice.

1. Introduction

Pathology has been a cornerstone of medical diagnosis for decades, playing a critical role in detecting diseases, especially cancer. Traditional pathology relies on the visual inspection of tissue samples under a microscope, a process that can be labour-intensive, time-consuming, and prone to subjectivity. Recent advances in computational power, the digitization of histopathological slides, and the advent of artificial intelligence offer new opportunities for pathology to evolve into a more precise and efficient discipline.

Computational pathology (CP) seeks to automate and enhance the diagnostic process through image analysis and data mining techniques. By utilizing whole-slide imaging (WSI) and machine learning models, pathologists can extract clinically meaningful information at an unprecedented scale and accuracy.[1] This review will discuss the technologies enabling computational pathology, key applications, potential challenges, and future directions.

2. Enabling Technologies in Computational Pathology

2.1. *Whole-slide imaging (WSI)*

One of the most significant enablers of computational pathology is the development of whole-slide imaging. WSI allows the digitization of entire histopathological slides at high resolution, producing gigapixel-sized images that can be analyzed algorithmically. These digital slides are the foundation for applying image analysis techniques in pathology, providing a scalable and easily shareable format.[1,2]

2.2. *Machine learning and deep learning*

Machine learning, particularly deep learning, has been instrumental in driving the progress of computational pathology. Convolutional neural networks (CNNs), a type of deep learning algorithm, are particularly well-suited for image recognition tasks.[3] In pathology, CNNs have been used for tasks such as identifying cancerous cells, grading tumors, and predicting patient outcomes.

* *Corresponding author*.
E-mail address: 02tejk@gmail.com (T. Kotian).

https://doi.org/10.18231/j.jdpo.2024.030
2581-3714/© 2024 Author(s), Published by Innovative Publication.

2.3. Natural language processing (NLP)

While computational pathology primarily focuses on image data, the integration of clinical records, pathology reports, and patient data is crucial for robust diagnostics.[4] NLP techniques are applied to extract meaningful insights from unstructured text in pathology reports, enabling a more holistic view of the patient's condition.

2.4. Cloud computing and big data

The sheer volume of data generated by whole-slide imaging requires significant computational resources for storage, processing, and analysis. Cloud computing platforms offer scalable infrastructure that can handle these data-intensive tasks. Moreover, big data analytics help identify patterns and correlations in vast pathology datasets, leading to better predictive models.[5]

3. Applications of Computational Pathology

3.1. Cancer detection and grading

One of the primary applications of computational pathology is in oncology. AI models are increasingly being used to detect cancer in tissue samples and assist in grading tumours. These systems have shown high accuracy in distinguishing between benign and malignant tissues, identifying subtypes of cancer, and quantifying the extent of disease.

3.2. Prognostic and predictive biomarkers

Computational pathology can go beyond detection by identifying prognostic biomarkers that predict disease outcomes. Machine learning algorithms analyze patterns in histological features to provide insights into disease aggressiveness, likely recurrence, and patient survival rates. These biomarkers can also guide treatment decisions, such as determining the suitability of targeted therapies or immunotherapy.

3.3. Workflow automation

AI-driven tools can automate routine tasks in pathology labs, such as slide scanning, region of interest detection, and annotation. Automation not only improves efficiency but also reduces the risk of human error.[6,7] By minimizing manual intervention in routine processes, computational pathology frees up pathologists to focus on more complex cases .

3.4. Digital pathology platforms and telepathology

Digital pathology platforms enable remote consultations and second opinions. By integrating computational pathology tools, these platforms provide real-time decision support to pathologists and clinicians, even in remote locations. The use of AI in telepathology could bridge the gap in healthcare access, particularly in underserved areas.[8]

4. Benefits of Computational Pathology

4.1. Improved diagnostic accuracy

One of the most promising benefits of computational pathology is its potential to improve diagnostic accuracy. By providing objective and quantifiable results, AI-driven systems reduce inter-observer variability and enhance reproducibility.[9] In fields such as cancer diagnosis, this can significantly impact patient outcomes .

4.2. Enhanced workflow efficiency

Computational pathology can streamline the diagnostic workflow by automating tedious tasks such as slide scanning, tissue segmentation, and quantification. This can lead to faster turnaround times for results and reduced workloads for pathologists, enabling them to focus on more complex analyses.

4.3. Integration with precision medicine

The rise of precision medicine demands more personalized approaches to patient care. Computational pathology can integrate histopathological data with genomic, proteomic, and clinical data to provide a comprehensive picture of the disease.[8,10] This integration supports the development of tailored treatments that can improve patient outcomes.

5. Challenges and Limitations

5.1. Data quality and standardization

The quality of data used to train machine learning models is crucial for the success of computational pathology. However, variability in slide preparation, staining, and imaging conditions can introduce noise and bias into the datasets. Efforts are needed to standardize protocols and ensure high-quality data across institutions.

5.2. Interpretability of AI models

Deep learning models are often criticized for being "black boxes," where the reasoning behind their predictions is not easily interpretable. In a medical context, this lack of transparency can hinder the adoption of AI tools. Developing more interpretable models and ensuring that AI-generated insights are clinically meaningful is a key challenge.[11]

5.3. Regulatory and ethical concerns

As with any AI application in healthcare, computational pathology faces regulatory hurdles. Ensuring that AI systems meet safety and efficacy standards is paramount. Moreover, ethical concerns related to data privacy, security, and the potential displacement of human jobs must be addressed as AI adoption increases.

5.4. Integration with clinical workflows

Despite its potential, computational pathology tools must seamlessly integrate with existing clinical workflows to be effective. This requires collaboration between software developers, pathologists, and healthcare providers to ensure that AI tools are intuitive, reliable, and easily accessible in clinical settings.

6. Future Directions

The future of computational pathology looks promising, with several advancements on the horizon. Continued improvements in AI algorithms, combined with more extensive datasets, will lead to more accurate and reliable diagnostic tools. Integrating computational pathology with multi-omics data will further enhance its potential in personalized medicine.[12]

Moreover, the development of explainable AI models and the incorporation of real-time feedback mechanisms will improve the clinical adoption of computational pathology tools. Ultimately, as computational pathology matures, it will become an indispensable component of modern pathology, augmenting the skills of pathologists and transforming patient care.

7. Discussion

The field of computational pathology has shown tremendous promise in transforming diagnostic processes by leveraging advancements in artificial intelligence, machine learning, and whole-slide imaging technologies. The integration of these computational techniques into pathology offers significant opportunities to enhance diagnostic accuracy, increase efficiency, and support personalized medicine, but several key challenges need to be addressed for its full potential to be realized.[13]

7.1. Diagnostic accuracy and reproducibility

One of the most critical advantages of computational pathology is its potential to improve diagnostic accuracy and reproducibility. Traditional histopathological analysis often depends on the subjective judgment of pathologists, which can introduce variability, particularly in complex or borderline cases. By introducing objective, algorithm-driven analysis, computational pathology can reduce this inter-observer variability, leading to more consistent diagnostic outcomes. Studies have shown that machine learning models, particularly deep learning-based models like CNNs, can achieve accuracy levels comparable to experienced pathologists in tasks such as cancer detection and grading. This improvement in diagnostic accuracy has a direct impact on patient outcomes, particularly in cancer diagnosis, where early and accurate detection is critical.[13]

However, while these AI-driven models demonstrate impressive results in controlled research environments, translating this success to real-world clinical practice presents challenges. Variability in data quality, such as differences in slide preparation, staining, and imaging protocols across institutions, can affect the performance of machine learning models. The generalizability of these models across diverse patient populations also needs further investigation. Therefore, improving data standardization and building more robust, generalizable models is crucial for widespread clinical adoption.

7.2. Integration with clinical workflows

The integration of computational pathology into existing clinical workflows poses both technical and operational challenges. Pathology departments are typically organized around conventional practices, with workflows that have been refined over decades. Introducing computational tools requires a shift not only in technology but also in clinical operations. Pathologists must balance their traditional role with the oversight and interpretation of AI-generated insights.[14] While these systems can automate routine tasks and free up pathologists' time for more complex cases, they must also be intuitive, reliable, and seamlessly integrated into daily workflows to gain acceptance .

In addition, clinical validation and regulatory approval of AI models remain key hurdles. The black-box nature of many deep learning models creates challenges in understanding how decisions are made, which can make pathologists hesitant to rely on them for critical clinical decisions. Regulatory frameworks are still evolving, and models must meet stringent requirements for safety, accuracy, and clinical efficacy before being widely adopted in healthcare settings.

7.3. Ethical and legal considerations

The use of AI and machine learning in healthcare, particularly in pathology, raises important ethical and legal concerns. One major issue is the question of accountability. When a diagnosis is based on an AI model's prediction, determining responsibility for any errors—whether they stem from flawed algorithms, incomplete training data, or inappropriate usage—can become complex. Regulatory bodies must develop clear guidelines on the responsibility of healthcare providers and AI developers.[15]

Furthermore, patient data privacy and security are major concerns. Whole-slide images, coupled with patient data, must be stored and analyzed in ways that protect confidentiality while allowing for large-scale data mining to train AI models. These systems often rely on cloud computing for storage and processing, which introduces risks related to data breaches. Developers and healthcare institutions must ensure that AI systems comply with healthcare regulations, such as the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), to protect patient information.[16]

7.4. Explainability and trust in AI models

The lack of interpretability, often referred to as the "black-box" problem in AI, is one of the key barriers to the adoption of computational pathology. While deep learning models excel at pattern recognition, they often do not provide easily understandable explanations for their predictions. In medical fields like pathology, where decisions can have life-altering consequences, pathologists and clinicians are less likely to trust systems that they cannot fully understand.

Addressing this issue requires the development of explainable AI (XAI) models that provide clear reasoning behind their predictions. Pathologists are more likely to adopt AI-driven tools if they can understand how the system arrives at a diagnosis and how it weighs different histological features.[17] Current research efforts are focused on making AI systems more transparent by introducing visual aids, such as heatmaps or feature maps, that highlight the areas of the tissue sample that the model considers most relevant.[18]

7.5. Educational and workforce implications

As computational pathology becomes more integrated into the diagnostic process, the role of pathologists is also evolving. There will be an increasing need for pathologists who are proficient not only in traditional histopathology but also in the application of AI and computational tools. Educational programs must adapt to this shift, incorporating training in computational pathology and AI literacy into the curriculum. Ensuring that future pathologists are well-versed in these technologies will be essential for the effective use of AI in clinical practice.

8. Conclusion

While computational pathology offers immense potential to revolutionize the diagnostic landscape, there are significant technical, ethical, and operational challenges that must be overcome. Ensuring the successful integration of AI models into pathology workflows will require collaboration between pathologists, AI developers, regulatory bodies, and healthcare institutions. Addressing concerns related to data quality, model interpretability, and ethical implications will be crucial for the widespread adoption of computational pathology. As advancements continue, particularly in explainability and personalized medicine, computational pathology is likely to become an essential tool in the future of precision healthcare.

9. Conflict of Interest

None

10. Source of Funding

None

References

1. Litjens G, Kooi T, Bejnordi BE, Setio AAA, Ciompi F, Ghafoorian M, et al. A Survey on Deep Learning in Medical Image Analysis. *Med Image Anal*. 2017;42:60–88. doi:10.1016/j.media.2017.07.005.
2. Bera K, Schalper K, Rimm DL, Velcheti V, Madabhushi A. Artificial Intelligence in Digital Pathology-New Tools for Diagnosis and Precision Oncology. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol*. 2019;16(11):703–15.
3. McCarthy J, Minsky ML, Rochester N, Shannon CE. A proposal for the dartmouth summer research project on artificial intelligence. *AI Mag*. 2006;27:12–4.
4. Samuel AL. Machine learning. *Technol Rev*. 1959;62(1):42–5.
5. Campanella G, Hanna MG, Geneslaw L. Clinical-Grade Computational Pathology Using Weakly Supervised Deep Learning on Whole Slide Images. *Nat Med*. 2019;25:1301–9.
6. Schaumberg AJ. Deep Learning for Large-Scale Biomarker Discovery in Pathology Whole Slide Images of Cancer. *Frontiers in Medicine*;7:301–301.
7. Ho J. Deep Learning in Digital Pathology: A Study on Osteosarcoma Diagnosis and Classification. *Diagnostic Pathology*. 2020;15:1–10.
8. Madabhushi A, Lee G. Image Analysis and Machine Learning in Digital Pathology: Challenges and Opportunities. *Med Image Anal*. 2016;33:170–5. doi:10.1016/j.media.2016.06.037.
9. Thrall MJ. Automated screening of Papanicolaou tests: A review of the literature. *Diagn Cytopathol*. 2019;47(1):20–7.
10. Janowczyk A, Madabhushi A. Deep Learning for Digital Pathology Image Analysis: A Comprehensive Tutorial with Selected Use Cases. *J Pathol Infor*. 2016;7:29. doi:10.4103/2153-3539.186902.
11. Tizhoosh HR, Pantanowitz L. Artificial Intelligence and Digital Pathology: Challenges and Opportunities. *J Pathol Inform*. 2018;9:38.
12. Yao J, Wang LV. Photoacoustic Microscopy. *Laser Photon Rev*. 2013;7(5):10.1002/lpor.201200060. doi:10.1002/lpor.201200060.
13. Chen RJ, Lu MY, Wang J, Williamson DFK, Rodig SJ, Lindeman NI, et al. Pathomic Fusion: An Integrated Framework for Fusing Histopathology and Genomic Features for Cancer Diagnosis and Prognosis. *IEEE Trans Med Imaging*. 2022;41(3):557–70.
14. Ratner M. FDA backs clinician-free AI imaging diagnostic tools. *Nat Biotechnol*. 2018;36(8):673–4.
15. da Silva L, Pereira EM, Salles PG, Godrich R, Ceballos R, Kunz JD, et al. Independent real-world application of a clinical-grade automated prostate cancer detection system. *J Pathol*. 2021;254(2):147–58.
16. Deng L, Yu D. Deep Learning: Methods and Applications. *Found Trends Signal Process*. 2014;7(4):197–387.
17. Lu C, Xu H, Xu J, Gilmore H, Mandal M, Madabhushi A, et al. Multi-Pass Adaptive Voting for Nuclei Detection in Histopathological Images. *Sci Rep*. 2016;6:33985. doi:10.1038/srep33985.
18. Van Der Laak J, Litjens G, Ciompi F. Deep learning in histopathology: the path to the clinic. *Nat Med*. 2021;27:775–84.

Author biography

Tejashwini Kotian, Assistant Professor https://orcid.org/0009-0008-5473-7426

Cite this article: Kotian T. Computational pathology - Transforming diagnosis through machine learning and AI. *IP J Diagn Pathol Oncol* 2024;9(3):146-150.