

Content available at: <https://www.ipinnovative.com/open-access-journals>

IP Journal of Diagnostic Pathology and Oncology

Journal homepage: <https://www.jdpo.org/>

Original Research Article

A histopathological study of unusual findings in appendectomy specimens in a tertiary care hospital of Andhra Pradesh

Srinivasulu Kande¹, Boddu Penchala Prasanna¹, Sushma Chandulee Kancharla¹,
Suneetha Kona^{1,*}

¹Dept. of Pathology, Government Medical College, Prakasam, Andhra Pradesh, India



ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 22-09-2021

Accepted 09-10-2021

Available online 26-11-2021

Keywords:

Acute appendicitis

Appendectomy

Histopathology

ABSTRACT

Background: Acute appendicitis is sudden inflammation of the appendix, usually initiated by obstruction of the lumen. This results in invasion of the appendix wall by gut flora, and it becomes inflamed and infected.

Aim: To investigate retrospectively the prevalence and implications of unusual histopathological findings in appendectomy specimens from patients with suspected acute appendicitis.

Materials and Methods: This is retrospective assessment of hospital records of 150 patients who were diagnosed as acute appendicitis and underwent appendectomy during March 2018 to February 2020 at Government Medical College, Bhagya Nagar, Ongole, Andhra Pradesh. Data of the patients were assessed based on age, sex, histopathological diagnosis, coexisting pathologies and uncommon findings on histology.

Results: According to histopathology report, negative for acute appendicitis were noted in 82 cases (55%), whereas positive for acute appendicitis in 68 cases (45.3%). Rare findings were found in 38 (55.8%) cases out of 68 cases. Parasites were found in 7 (18.4%) (Enterobius Vermicularis, Balantidium Coli, Schistosoma Haematobium), Mucocele in 12 (32%), carcinoid tumour in 8 (21%), B cell malignant lymphoma in 2 (5%), leiomyoma in 2 (5%), Primary appendiceal adenocarcinoma in 3 (8%), Acute appendicitis with dysplastic changes in 2 (5%) and inflammatory bowel polyp was reported in remaining two cases (5%).

Conclusion: Even though unusual pathologies can be seen rarely during appendectomy, this should be kept in mind for further evaluation of each case.

This is an Open Access (OA) journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/), which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: reprint@ipinnovative.com

1. Introduction

Acute appendicitis is one of the most common medical emergency, and majority of cases are managed with emergency appendectomy.¹ This whole appendix removal not only permits for conclusive conclusion of the case but also considerably decreases the complications like perforation and sepsis. Though, the surgical technique itself is invasive, demonstrating added risks to the patient's morbidity and mortality. It also incurs outstanding costs to the healthcare providers. Epidemiologic research

has discovered that the occurrence of acute appendicitis roughly matches that of lymphoid development, with the peak occurrence presenting between the ages of 10 and 30 years old. The most important causal factor of acute appendicitis appears to be increase of luminal obstruction. Apart from this, numerous factors have been implicated as causative etiologies of this basic feature, and demonstrating an age-related trend.^{1–5} For example, lymphoid hyperplasia is the utmost common factor identified in young aged patients, while fecalith plugs are most common factor recognised in old aged patients. Other than these, several less recurrent causes have been recognised that indicated the suspicion of acute appendicitis with or without

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: drsuneethakona@gmail.com (S. Kona).

histopathologic evidence for acute appendicitis.⁵ Hence, this retrospective study was planned show the uncommon findings in appendectomy specimens.

2. Materials and Methods

Patients' data was collected from the hospital records. A 150 patients (78 males and 72 females), having mean age between 18-5 years and were diagnosed with acute appendicitis followed by appendectomy during last two years (March 2018 to February 2020) at Government Medical College, Bhagya Nagar, Prakasam (Dt), Ongole, Andhra Pradesh were selected for the study. Operative case sheets were analysed to conclude main or coexisting operative results or any other main pathologies found. Histology reports were too analysed with reference to the diagnosis and uncommon results were recorded. The study procedure was obtained approval from Institutional ethics committee. All data were analysed by using SPSS 15 for Windows. Frequency and distribution of data were calculated.

3. Results

78 (52%) male and 72 (48%) female patients with mean age of 32 (14-65) years were selected for this study. All patients were clinically identified of having acute appendicitis with reference to physical and laboratory examination. 17 cases (25%) of acute necrotizing appendicitis, 7 cases (10%) of acute phlegmonose appendicitis, 13 cases (18%) of acute appendicitis, 13 cases (18%) of obliterated appendix vermiformis were reported. In 18 cases (28%) lymphoid hyperplasia was obtained.

According to histology report, negative for acute appendicitis were noted in 82 cases (55%), whereas positive for acute appendicitis in 68 cases (45.3%). Uncommon findings were determined in 38 (56%) cases. Parasites were found in 7 (18.4%) (*Enterobius Vermicularis*, *Balantidium Coli*, *Schistosoma Haematobium*), mucocele in 12 (21%), carcinoid tumour in 8 (21%), B cell malignant lymphoma in 2 (5%), leiomyoma in 2 (5%), primary appendiceal adenocarcinoma in 3 (8%), acute appendicitis with dysplastic changes in 2 (5%) and inflammatory bowel polyp was reported in remaining two cases (5% ()).

Table 1: Patient characteristics and histopathologic diagnosis patients

Male	78 (52%)
Female	72 (48%)
Mean age (range)	32 (18-65)
Histopathological diagnosis	
Cases unconfirmed for acute appendicitis n= 82 (55 %)	
Cases confirmed for acute appendicitis n=68 (45.3%)	

Table 2: Uncommon findings on histology (n=38).

Findings	Patients No (%)
Parasites (<i>Enterobius vermicularis</i> , <i>Balantidium coli</i> , <i>Schistosoma haematobium</i>)	7 (18.4%)
Mucocele	12 (32%)
Carcinoid tumor	8 (21%)
B cell malignant lymphoma	2 (5%)
Leiomyoma of the appendix	2 (5%)
Primary appendiceal adenocarcinoma	3 (8%)
Dysplastic changes	2 (5%)
Inflammatory bowel polyp	2 (5%)
Total	38 (100%)

4. Discussion

Acute Appendicitis is the common surgical crisis that affects teenagers though it can occur at any age group people. The majority of cases the pathology will be luminal obstruction which is due to rise in intra-luminal pressure within the appendix, and that causes ischemia. Bacteria translocate could cause inflammation, Infarction and perforation. Many typical and atypical etiologies may lead to appendiceal lumen obstruction.⁶ An outline of stated histopathological results is deliberated in our manuscript.

The atypical findings in appendectomy specimens are intestinal worms or malignant or benign tumors.¹ In our study, the records of appendectomies were analysed to ascertain primary or coexisting pathologies and histology reports were also examined for the diagnosis & any uncommon findings were collected.

There are some atypical etiologic factors identified to be fecoliths and lymphoid hyperplasia, appendicitis can be due to parasitic infestation also. Earlier reports of *E. vermicularis* incidence in appendectomy specimens were ranged from 0.2% to 41.8%.⁷

Enterobius vermicularis was found in 3 cases in the present study and nobody had acute appendicitis on histology.

Schistosomiasis is a trematod infestation. It is most common parasitic diseases in the world. *Scistosoma haematobium* was implicated as the causative agent of a granulomatous inflammatory state associated with eosinophilia and fibrosis.⁸ Though, *Schistosomiasis* of the appendix was first discovered by Turner et al in 1909, however, the actual role of schistose malinfestation as a related reason for appendicitis is still open to debate. This diagnosis should be done in patients staying in tropical areas having acute appendicitis or recurrent abdominal pain.⁹

Balantidium coli was found in one (1) case in this study, so that it is difficult to make any remark on its causative role in the pathogenesis of appendicitis.

Neoplasms of the appendix are not common and typically diagnosed during operation or autopsy. In conventional study of 71000 appendectomy specimens,

Collins discovered 958 malignant and 3271 benign tumors with an overall occurrence of 4.6% for benign lesions and 1.35% for the malignancy.¹⁰

Benign tumors of appendix are leiomyomas, neuromas and lipomas. Malignant tumors of the appendix are carcinoids, mucocoeles and adenocarcinomas. In this study, seven (7) cases with neoplasm in appendix were observed.

Commonest mucinous epithelial neoplasm of the appendix will form mucocoeles that reveal obvious cystic dilatation of the lumen with or without mural calcification. The underlying pathology may be a hyperplastic polyp, a benign neoplasm, such as cystadenoma, or a malignant tumor such as adenocarcinoma. The diagnosis of appendiceal mucocoele is approximately never made preoperatively. In some cases mucocoele is visualised by either computerized tomography (CT) or ultrasonography, though other cystic lesions of the peritoneal cavity, such as ovarian cyst, mesenteric and omental cysts which might have a similar radiological picture. These lesions are almost always found during appendectomy and complicate about 0.3% of all appendectomies.¹¹ A female to male ratio was found to be 4 to 1, with a mean age of presentation being 55 years.¹² This study found 12 mucocoele cases. All were females of mean age about 35 years revealing that it might also be found in an earlier period of life.

Carcinoids are more regularly diagnosed incidentally after an operation for acute appendicitis and occasionally during other techniques. In the study of Collins, carcinoid made up 51% of the malignant tumors of the appendix. Our study showed three (3) patients. Diagnosis was established after appendectomy and histologic examination.

Leiomyomas of the small intestine are benign tumors coming from smooth muscle in the intestinal wall. 40% to 50% of the cases have bleeding or intestinal obstruction. Characteristically, these lesions undertake central necrosis and could cause hemorrhage into the necrotic tissue. Prompt management is warranted which comprises segmental resection. Though the actual rate of incidence of leiomyoma in acute appendicitis is unknown, it was only present in 1 case in our study.

Gastrointestinal tract is the most frequently involved extra-nodal place of Hodgkin's lymphoma. Gastrointestinal tract diseases account for 4-20% of all non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL) and 30-45% of extra-nodal cases. Normal age for the association of gastrointestinal tract is 55 years and is additionally commonly seen in men. Majority of the appendiceal lymphomas occur in the Non-Hodgkin type (especially B cell in origin). Primary lymphomas of the appendix were accounted for 11 of 71000 appendectomies by Collins et al in 1963.¹⁰ Lewin et al. Showed that in one case out of the seventy-nine cases of gastrointestinal lymphomas.¹³ d'Amore et al. documented appendiceal primary lymphomas in 306 gastrointestinal lymphoma patients.¹⁴ As it is rare, the CT findings could be used for a preoperative diagnosis.¹⁵ Present study showed B cell

lymphoma in two cases of the specimens.

Primary adenocarcinoma of the appendix is an extremely rare tumor, with less than 300 of these lesions explained in the world literature. This tumor is mainly common in people aged between 50-55 years. Adenocarcinomas act violently and in a crazy related to that of colonic adenocarcinomas, so they must be managed with the same violent approach.⁹ In this study 3(8%) male patients with an age of 68 years was found. Initially, was treated by appendectomy but after the histology report came a right hemicolectomy was performed.

To the best of our information, this is the first retrospective study that explains specifically atypical changes of histopathological findings with a probable diagnosis of acute appendicitis in Andhra Pradesh of south India. This study has been performed in a tertiary care hospital where cases were retrospectively reviewed to endorse these rare findings. Our findings concurrent with research studies from other parts of world.

5. Conclusion

It is obvious that yet when the macroscopic presence of a removed appendix is normal, histopathological examination of specimens will allow early diagnosis of malignancy or infectious diseases. The clinical importance of recognising these atypical findings will guide the clinical outcome of these cases by necessitating additional surgical management and adding chemotherapy for malignant cases or for treating anthelmintic drugs.

6. Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest in this paper.

7. Source of Funding

None.

References

1. Akbulut S, Tas M, Sogutcu N, Arikanoglu Z, Basbug M, Ulku A, et al. Unusual histopathological findings in appendectomy specimens: a retrospective analysis and literature review. *World J Gastroenterol.* 2011;17:1961–70.
2. Duzgun AP, Moran M, Uzun S, Ozmen MM, Ozer VM, Seckin S, et al. Unusual findings in appendectomy specimens: Evaluation of 2458 cases and review of the literature. *Indian J Surg.* 2004;66(4):221–6.
3. Hafeziahmadi M, Seifmanesh H. Taeniasis caused appendicitis without local tenderness: A rare case. *Hospital Chronicles.* 2011;6(4):207–9.
4. Sforza M, Andjelkov K, Zacccheddu R, Ivanov D, Krstić S, Paganelli A, et al. An unusual case of ascariasis of the appendix. *Srp Arh Celok Lek.* 2011;139(11-12):809–11. doi:10.2298/sarh1112809s.
5. Gialamas E, Papavramidis T, Michalopoulos N, Karayannopoulou G, Cheva A, Vasilaki O, et al. Enterobius vermicularis: a rare cause of appendicitis. *Turkiye Parazit Derg.* 2012;36(1):37–40. doi:10.5152/tpd.2012.09.

6. Deans GT, Spence RA. Neoplastic lesions of the appendix. *Br J Surg.* 1995;82(3):299–306. doi:10.1002/bjs.1800820306.
7. Al-Balas H, Al-Saffar RS, Al-Balas M, Mohammad KM, Al-Wiswasy. Unusual histopathological findings in appendectomy specimens with clinical diagnosis of acute appendicitis: A retrospective cohort analysis. *Ann Med Surg.* 2021;61:102720–4. doi:10.1016/j.amsu.2021.102720.
8. Herd ME, Cross PA, Dutt S. Histological audit of acute appendicitis. *J Clin Pathol.* 1992;45(5):456–8. doi:10.1136/jcp.45.5.456.
9. Weber G, Borer A, Zirkin HJ. Schistosomiasis presenting as acute appendicitis in a traveler. *J Travel Med.* 1998;5(3):147–8. doi:10.1111/j.1708-8305.1998.tb00489.x.
10. Collins DC. Human appendix specimens: a final report summarizing 40 years study. *Am J Proctol Gastroenterol Colon & Rectal Surg.* 1963;14:365–368.
11. Bast RC, Kufe DW. Neoplasms of alimentary tract. In: *Cancer Medicine 5th Edn. Section 29.* BC Decker Inc Canada; 2000.
12. Adebamowo CA, Akang EE, Ladipo JK. Schistosomiasis of the appendix. *Br J Surg.* 1991;78(10):1219–21. doi:10.1002/bjs.1800781023.
13. Lewin KJ, Ranchod M, Dorfman RF. Lymphomas of the gastrointestinal tract. *Cancer.* 1987;42(2):693–707. doi:10.1002/1097-0142(197808)42:2<693::aid-cncr2820420241>3.0.co;2-j.
14. D'amore F, Brincker H, Gronbaek K. Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma of the gastrointestinal tract: A population-based analysis of incidence, geographic distribution, clinicopathologic presentation features and prognosis. *J Clin Oncol.* 1994;12(8):1673–84. doi:10.1200/JCO.1994.12.8.1673.
15. Proctol AJ. 71000 Human appendix specimens: a final report summarizing 40 years study. 1963;4:265–81.

Author biography

Srinivasulu Kande, Associate Professor

Boddu Penchala Prasanna, Assistant Professor

Sushma Chandulee Kancharla, Assistant Professor

Suneetha Kona, Assistant Professor

Cite this article: Kande S, Prasanna BP, Kancharla SC, Kona S. A histopathological study of unusual findings in appendectomy specimens in a tertiary care hospital of Andhra Pradesh. *IP J Diagn Pathol Oncol* 2021;6(4):291-294.